arXiv:1605.07394v1 [math.AP] 24 May 2016

UNIQUENESS OF SINGULAR SELF-SIMILAR SOLUTIONS OF A SEMILINEAR PARABOLIC EQUATION

PAVOL QUITTNER

Abstract. We study the uniqueness of singular radial (forward and backward) self-similar positive solutions of the equation $u_t - \Delta u = u^p, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^n,\ t > 0$, where $p \geq (n+2)/(n-2)_+$.

1. Introduction

In this paper we study the uniqueness of singular radial (forward and backward) self-similar positive solutions of the equation

$$u_t - \Delta u = u^p, \qquad x \in \mathbb{R}^n,\ t > 0, \tag{1}$$

where $p \geq p_S := (n+2)/(n-2)_+$. More precisely, we are interested in unbounded positive self-similar solutions of the equation

$$U_t - U_{rr} - \frac{n-1}{r} U_r = U^p \qquad \text{for } r, t > 0. \tag{2}$$

Such solutions are of the form

$$U(r,t) = t^{-1/(p-1)} w(r/\sqrt{t}) \quad \text{or} \quad U(r,t) = (T-t)^{-1/(p-1)} w(r/\sqrt{T-t}),$$

where $w = w(r)$ is an unbounded positive solution of the equation

$$w'' + \Big(\frac{n-1}{r} + \frac{r}{2}\Big) w' + \frac{1}{p-1} w + w^p = 0 \qquad \text{for } r > 0, \tag{3}$$

or

$$w'' + \Big(\frac{n-1}{r} - \frac{r}{2}\Big) w' - \frac{1}{p-1} w + w^p = 0 \qquad \text{for } r > 0, \tag{4}$$

respectively. Notice that stationary solutions $U = U(r)$ of (2) solve the equation

$$U_{rr} + \frac{n-1}{r} U_r + U^p = 0 \qquad \text{for } r > 0, \tag{5}$$

and equations (3) and (4) can be seen as perturbations of (5).

Assume $p > 1$. It is well known that (5) possesses (a continuum of) bounded positive solutions if and only if $p \geq p_S$, see [7, 29] or [24]. If $p > p_{sg} := n/(n-2)_+$ then (5) possesses the unbounded positive solution

$$U_*(r) := L r^{-2/(p-1)}, \quad \text{where} \quad L^{p-1} := \frac{2}{(p-1)^2}\big((n-2)p - n\big), \tag{6}$$

and this is the only unbounded positive solution if $p > p_S$, see [26]. On the other hand, if $p_{sg} < p \le p_S$ then (5) possesses a continuum of unbounded positive solutions, see [2, Proposition 2.2] and [26]. These results can also be easily derived by using the transformation

$$v(s) = r^{2/(p-1)}U(r), \qquad s = \log r,$$

and the corresponding phase plane analysis for (v, v') (see [24, Section 9] if $p \ge p_S$). The function v solves the equation

$$v'' + \beta v' + v^p - \gamma v = 0, \qquad s \in \mathbb{R}$$

where

$$\beta := \frac{1}{p-1}((n-2)p - (n+2)), \qquad \gamma = \frac{2}{(p-1)^2}((n-2)p - n).$$

Notice that $\gamma = L^{p-1} > 0$ if $p > p_{sg}$, while $\beta > 0$ if and only if $p > p_S$. If $p > p_S$ then bounded positive solutions of (5) correspond to the trajectories in the phase plane joining the equilibria $(0,0)$ and $(L,0)$, and the unbounded solution U_* corresponds to the equilibrium $(L,0)$, see [24, Figures 5–7]. If $p_{sg} < p < p_S$ then one can use the transformation $s \mapsto -s$ (which changes β to $-\beta$) to obtain similar pictures as for $p > p_S$, but now the trajectories joining $(0,0)$ and $(L,0)$ correspond to unbounded positive solutions of (5). This shows a kind of duality between bounded and unbounded solutions and the cases $p > p_S$ and $p_{sg} < p < p_S$. This duality concerns not only the existence but also the qualitative properties of solutions. For example, if we set

$$p_{JL} := \begin{cases} +\infty & \text{if } n \le 10, \\ 1 + \frac{4}{n-4-2\sqrt{n-1}} & \text{if } n > 10, \end{cases} \quad p^*_{JL} := 1 + \tfrac{4}{n-4+2\sqrt{n-1}} \quad \text{if } n > 2,$$

then the bounded solutions of (5) in the range $p > p_S$ intersect each other (and the singular solution U_*) if and only if $p < p_{JL}$, and, similarly, the unbounded solutions of (5) in the range $p \in (p_{sg}, p_S)$ intersect each other (and the singular solution U_*) if and only if $p > p^*_{JL}$. (Notice that $p_{JL} > p_S$ and $p^*_{JL} \in (p_{sg}, p_S)$ if $n > 2$.)

Some of the features of (5) mentioned above can also be expected for its perturbations (3) and (4); however a complete description of all bounded and unbounded solutions of these equations is still missing and their analysis is much more difficult. Since these solutions play an important role in the study of the large-time or blow-up behavior of solutions of the model problem (1), the list of available results and references is very long and we recall just a few of them.

First let us mention that U_* is a positive unbounded solution of both (3) and (4) if $p > p_{sg}$. If $n > 10$ and $p > p_{JL}$ then the linearization of (4) at U_* (in a suitable function space) defines a self-adjoint operator A_* with spectrum $\sigma(A_*)$ consisting of a countable sequence of eigenvalues (see [10]),

and we set

$$p_L := (n-4)/(n-10) = \sup\{p > p_{JL} : 0 \in \sigma(A_*)\},$$

cf. [15]. The couple (p_L, U_*) is a bifurcation point for positive solutions of (4), cf. [16, Remark 2.5] and the arguments in [5].

Equation (4) has a unique bounded positive solution $w \equiv (p-1)^{-1/(p-1)}$ if $p \le p_S$ (see [8]), it has an infinite sequence of bounded positive solutions if $p_S < p < p_{JL}$ (see [11, 1]), it has at least one bounded positive non-constant solution if $p_{JL} < p < p_L$ (see [12]), and it does not have bounded positive non-constant solutions if $p \ge p_L$ (see [15, 16]). Other interesting properties of bounded positive solutions of (4) can be found in [14, 5, 6], for example. The uniqueness of the unbounded positive solution U_* of (4) for $p > p_S$ has been proved in [16, Theorem 1.2]; see also previous results in [4, Lemma 4.9] and [13, Proposition A.1]. On the other hand, this uniqueness fails if $p \in (p_{sg}, p_S)$, see [25]. If $p = p_S$ then a nonexistence result for unbounded positive solutions of (4) belonging to an energy space was obtained in [28, Lemma 5.1].

Equation (3) possesses a continuum of bounded positive solutions for all $p > p_F := 1 + 2/n$, but the exponents p_S and p_{JL} are again critical in some sense, see [9, 21, 30, 3, 27, 17, 18, 19] and the references therein. In particular, the set of such solutions is bounded in L^∞ if and only if $p < p_S$. Fix $p > p_F$. Given a positive solution w of (3), the limit $\ell(w) := \lim_{r\to\infty} w(r) r^{2/(p-1)}$ exists and

$$L^* := \sup\{\ell(w) : w \text{ is a bounded positive solution of (3)}\} \in (0, \infty).$$

The only result concerning unbounded positive solutions (that we are aware of) shows that if $p_F < p < p_{JL}$ then any unbounded positive solution of (3) satisfies $\ell(w) \le L^*$, see [19, Lemma 7.1].

Let us emphasize that all the results on unbounded positive solutions of (3) and (4) mentioned above were motivated by (and immediately applied in) the study of solutions (1). This is also the case of the following uniqueness theorem which is the main result of this paper and which plays an important role in the study of threshold solutions of (1) in [23].

Theorem 1. *Let $p \ge p_S$ and let w be a positive unbounded solution of (3) or (4). If $p = p_S$ assume also that the number of sign changes of $w - U_*$ is finite. Then $w = U_*$.*

Our proof of Theorem 1 is a modification of the proof of an analogous result for equation (5) in [26]; this modification is far from straightforward in the critical case $p = p_S$.

2. Proof of Theorem 1

Lemma 1. *Let $n > 2$, $p > 1$, $\alpha := 2/(p-1)$, and let w be a positive solution of (3). Then $w' \le 0$ and there exists $C > 0$ such that*

$$r^{\alpha+i} |w^{(i)}(r)| \le C, \quad r \in (0,1), \quad i = 0, 1, 2, \tag{7}$$

where $w^{(0)}=w$, $w^{(1)}=w'$ and $w^{(2)}=w''$. If w is bounded then $w'(r)=O(r)$ as $r\to 0$.

Proof. Assume on the contrary $w'(r_0)>0$ for some $r_0>0$. Then (3) guarantees $w''(r)<0$ and $w'(r)>w'(r_0)$ for $r<r_0$, and the inequality $(r^{n-1}w'(r))'\le 0$ for $r<r_0$ guarantees $w'(r)\ge c_0 r^{1-n}$ for $r<r_0$ which contradicts the estimate $\int_\rho^{r_0} w'(r)\,dr\le w(r_0)$ for ρ small enough.

Estimates (7) follow from the scaling and doubling arguments as in [22]. In fact, assume on the contrary that the function

$$M(r):=w(r)^{(p-1)/2}+|w'(r)|^{(p-1)/(p+1)}+|w''(r)|r^{(p-1)/(2p)}$$

satisfies $M_k:=M(r_k)>2k/r_k$ for some $r_k\to 0$. Then [22, Lemma 5.1] guarantees that we may assume $M(r)\le 2M(r_k)$ whenever $|r-r_k|<k/M_k$. Set $v_k(\rho):=\lambda_k^{2/(p-1)}w(r_k+\lambda_k\rho)$ where $\lambda_k=1/M_k$. Then v_k, v_k', v_k'' are uniformly bounded on $(-k,k)$ and v_k solve the equation

$$v_k''+\Big(\frac{n-1}{r_k/\lambda_k+\rho}+\frac12\lambda_k(r_k+\lambda_k\rho)\Big)v_k'+\frac{1}{p-1}\lambda_k^2 v_k+v_k^p=0,\quad \rho\in(-k,k),$$

where $r_k/\lambda_k=r_kM_k>2k$. Consequently, a suitable subsequence of $\{v_k\}$ converges locally uniformly to a positive solution v of the equation $v''+v^p=0$ in $\mathbb{R}$ which yields a contradiction with the corresponding Liouville theorem (see [24, Theorem 8.1], for example).

Alternatively, one can also modify the arguments in the proofs of [20, Theorem 2.1, Lemma 2.1 and the subsequent Remark] to prove (7).

Next assume that w is bounded. Then there exist $r_k\to 0$ such that $r_k^{n-1}w'(r_k)\to 0$. Consequently, integrating the inequality

$$-(r^{n-1}w'(r))'\le r^{n-1}\Big(\frac{1}{p-1}w+w^p\Big)=O(r^{n-1})$$

from r_k to r and passing to the limit yields $-r^{n-1}w'(r)=O(r^n)$, hence $w'(r)=O(r)$. □

Lemma 2. *Let $p\ge p_S$, let w be a positive solution of (3) and $v(r):=w(r)r^\alpha$, where $\alpha:=2/(p-1)$. If $v(r)\to 0$ and $rv'(r)\to 0$ as $r\to 0$ then w is bounded.*

Proof. The proof is a modification of the proof of [26, Lemma 2.4].

Lemma 1 guarantees that w is bounded on $[1,\infty)$ and v satisfies

$$v(r)+|v'(r)r|+|v''(r)r^2|\le C\quad\text{for } r\in(0,1). \tag{8}$$

Notice also that v is a solution of

$$v''+\Big(\frac{n-1-2\alpha}{r}+\frac{r}{2}\Big)v'+\frac{1}{r^2}(v^p-L^{p-1}v)=0,\qquad r>0, \tag{9}$$

where L is defined in (6). Consider $\varepsilon > 0$ small and set

$$a_1^{\pm} := \alpha - \frac{1}{2}(n-2-\sqrt{(n-2)^2 \mp 4\varepsilon}) = \alpha + O(\varepsilon),$$
$$a_2^{\pm} := \alpha - \frac{1}{2}(n-2+\sqrt{(n-2)^2 \mp 4\varepsilon}) = \alpha + 2 - n + O(\varepsilon) < 0.$$

Choose $r_\varepsilon > 0$ small such that $v^{p-1}(r) + (1 - a_2^-/2)r^2 < \varepsilon$ for $r < r_\varepsilon$. Then (9) guarantees

$$\frac{r}{2}v' + \frac{2-a_2^+}{2}v + v'' + \frac{n-1-2\alpha}{r}v' - \frac{(L^{p-1}-\varepsilon)}{r^2}v \geq 0, \qquad 0 < r < r_\varepsilon, \tag{10}$$

and

$$\frac{r}{2}v' + \frac{2-a_2^-}{2}v + v'' + \frac{n-1-2\alpha}{r}v' - \frac{(L^{p-1}+\varepsilon)}{r^2}v \leq 0, \qquad 0 < r < r_\varepsilon. \tag{11}$$

Inequality (10) can be written as

$$\frac{r}{2}v' + \frac{2-a_2^+}{2}v + \Big(D - \frac{a_2^+ - 1}{r}\Big)\Big(D - \frac{a_1^+}{r}\Big)v \geq 0,$$

where $D = d/dr$. Multiplying the last inequality by $r^{1-a_2^+}$ we see that the function

$$\psi(r) := \frac{1}{2}r^{2-a_2^+}v + r^{1-a_2^+}\Big(D - \frac{a_1^+}{r}\Big)v$$

satisfies $\psi' \geq 0$. Since $\psi(r) \to 0$ as $r \to 0$, we have $\psi(r) \geq 0$, hence

$$\frac{1}{2}rv + \Big(D - \frac{a_1^+}{r}\Big)v \geq 0, \qquad 0 < r < r_\varepsilon.$$

Since $r_\varepsilon^2 < \varepsilon$, we also have

$$\Big(D - \frac{\tilde{a}_1}{r}\Big)v \geq 0, \qquad 0 < r < r_\varepsilon,$$

where $\tilde{a}_1 := a_1^+ - \varepsilon$. Integrating from r to r_ε yields

$$v(r) \leq c_\varepsilon r^{\tilde{a}_1} = c_\varepsilon r^{\alpha + O(\varepsilon)}, \qquad 0 < r < r_\varepsilon.$$

Similarly, considering (11) instead of (10), we obtain

$$\Big(D - \frac{a_1^-}{r}\Big)v \leq 0 \quad \text{and} \quad v(r) \geq \tilde{c}_\varepsilon r^{a_1^-} = \tilde{c}_\varepsilon r^{\alpha + O(\varepsilon)}, \qquad 0 < r < r_\varepsilon.$$

Thus $\tilde{a}_1 v(r) \leq r v'(r) \leq a_1^- v(r)$ for $0 < r < r_\varepsilon$, and

$$v'' + \frac{n-1-2\alpha}{r}v' - \frac{L^{p-1}}{r^2}v = g(r) \tag{12}$$

with

$$|g(r)| = \Big|\frac{v^p}{r^2} + \frac{r}{2}v'\Big| \leq Cr^{\alpha + O(\varepsilon)}.$$

Now the representation of solutions of (12) implies that v is bounded by Cr^α, hence w is bounded: □

Lemma 3. *Let $p \ge p_S$, let w be a positive solution of (3), $v(r) = r^\alpha w(r)$, where $\alpha = 2/(p-1)$. If $p = p_S$ assume also $z(v - L) < \infty$.*

Then

$$rv'(r) \to 0 \quad as \quad r \to 0. \tag{13}$$

If $p = p_S$ and $v \not\equiv L$ then $v(r) \to 0$ as $r \to 0$.

Proof. Notice that v is a solution of (9) satisfying (8). Set $h := v/L$.

First assume $p = p_S$. Then h is a positive solution of

$$h'' + \Big(\frac{1}{r} + \frac{r}{2}\Big)h' + \frac{\alpha^2}{r^2}(h^p - h) = 0, \qquad r > 0, \tag{14}$$

and satisfies

$$h(r) + r|h'(r)| + r^2|h''(r)| \le C, \qquad r \in (0,1). \tag{15}$$

Assume $h \not\equiv 1$, hence $h' \not\equiv 0$. Multiplying (14) by r^2 we obtain

$$r(rh')' + \frac{r^3}{2}h' + d(h(r)) = 0, \quad \text{where} \quad d(\xi) := \alpha^2(\xi^p - \xi). \tag{16}$$

Multiplying (16) by h' and integrating from ρ to R we obtain

$$c(R) - c(\rho) = -\frac{1}{2}\int_\rho^R h'(r)^2 r^3\, dr < 0, \tag{17}$$

where

$$c(r) := \frac{r^2}{2}h'(r)^2 + b(h(r)), \qquad b(\xi) := \alpha^2\Big(\frac{1}{p+1}\xi^{p+1} - \frac{1}{2}\xi^2\Big). \tag{18}$$

Set $c_0 := \lim_{r\to 0} c(r)$, $c_\infty := \lim_{r\to\infty} c(r)$. Then $c_0 > c_\infty$.

We will first prove that

$$r(rh'(r))' \to 0 \text{ as } r \to 0. \tag{19}$$

In fact, the boundedness of $rh'(r)$ for $r \le 1$ shows the existence of $r_k \to 0$ such that $r_k(r_k h'(r_k))' \to 0$, hence $d(h(r_k)) \to 0$ due to (15), (16), and we may assume that either $h(r_k) \to 0$ or $h(r_k) \to 1$. Assume that there exist $\tilde r_k \to 0$ such that the sequence $\eta_k := \tilde r_k(\tilde r_k h'(\tilde r_k))'$ satisfies $|\eta_k| \ge 2\varepsilon_0 > 0$. Then $|d(h(\tilde r_k))| \ge \varepsilon_0$ for k large, hence $|h(\tilde r_k) - h(r_k)| \ge \delta_0 > 0$ and, consequently, there exist $\hat r_k \to 0$ such that $h'(\hat r_k) = 0$ and $\liminf h(\hat r_k) \le 1$. Hence $c_0 = \lim c(\hat r_k) = \lim b(h(\hat r_k)) \le 0$.

If (for a suitable subsequence) $\eta_k \le -2\varepsilon_0$ then $d(h(\tilde r_k)) \ge \varepsilon_0$, hence $h(\tilde r_k) > 1$ and $z(h-1) < \infty$ implies $h(r) \ge 1$ for r small. Consequently, $h(\hat r_k) \to 1$, hence $c_0 = \lim b(h(\hat r_k)) = \min b \le c_\infty$, which yields a contradiction.

Consequently, $\eta_k \ge 2\varepsilon_0$ and $d(h(\tilde r_k)) \le -\varepsilon_0$, hence $h(\tilde r_k) < 1$ and $z(h-1) < \infty$ implies $h(r) \le 1$ for r small.

If $h(r_k) \to 1$ then we may assume $h(\hat r_k) \to 1$ and we obtain a contradiction as above.

Therefore $h(r_k) \to 0$. Since $|h(\tilde r_k) - h(r_k)| \ge \delta_0 > 0$ and $h > 0$, we have $h(\tilde r_k) \ge \delta_0$ for k large enough. Consequently, we can find $\hat r_k^{(i)} \to 0$,

$i = 1, 2$, such that $h'(r_k^{(i)}) = 0$, $h(r_k^{(1)}) \to 0$, $h(r_k^{(2)}) \in [\delta_0, 1]$. However, this contradicts the fact that $b(h(r_k^{(i)})) \to c_0$, $i = 1, 2$. This shows that (19) is true.

Since (19) guarantees $d(h(r)) \to 0$ as $r \to 0$, we have either $h(r) \to 0$ or $h(r) \to 1$ as $r \to 0$. If $h(r) \to 1$ as $r \to 0$ then $c_0 \le \lim_{r\to 0} b(h(r)) = \min b$, which yields a contradiction. Hence $h(r) \to 0$ and $b(h(r)) \to 0$ as $r \to 0$. Since h is bounded on $(0, 1)$, we can find $r_k \to 0$ such that $r_k h'(r_k) \to 0$, hence $c_0 = \lim b(h(r_k)) = 0$ and, consequently, (13) is true.

Next assume $p > p_S$. Then (13) follows by a straightforward modification of the proofs of [26, Lemmas 2.1–2.2]. In fact, multiplying (9) by $v'(r)r^2$ and integrating one obtains

$$\begin{cases} \dfrac{v'^2 r^2}{2}\Big|_\rho^R + (n - 2 - 2\alpha) \displaystyle\int_\rho^R v'^2 r\, dr \\ \qquad\qquad + \dfrac{1}{2}\displaystyle\int_\rho^R v'^2 r^3\, dr + \Big(\dfrac{v^{p+1}}{p+1} - \dfrac{L^{p-1}v^2}{2}\Big)\Big|_\rho^R = 0, \end{cases} \tag{20}$$

hence (8) guarantees

$$\int_\rho^R v'^2 r\, dr \le C \quad \text{whenever } 1 \ge R > \rho > 0. \tag{21}$$

Assume that there exist $r_k \to 0$ such that $|v'(r_k)r_k| \ge c > 0$. Since $|(v'^2 r^2)'| \le M/r$ for $r \le 1$ due to (8), we have

$$|v'^2 r^2 - v'(r_k)^2 r_k^2| \le M|r - r_k| \max\Big(\frac{1}{r}, \frac{1}{r_k}\Big), \quad r \in (0, 1),$$

hence, assuming $(1 + \varepsilon)r_k < 1$, we obtain

$$v'^2 r^2 \ge \frac{c^2}{2}, \qquad r \in [(1 + \varepsilon)^{-1} r_k, (1 + \varepsilon) r_k], \qquad \varepsilon = \frac{c^2}{2M}.$$

Choosing an infinite subsequence, if necessary, of $\{r_k\}$ so that the intervals above are disjoint and contained in $(0, 1)$, it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^1 v'^2 r\, dr &\ge \sum_{k=1}^\infty \int_{(1+\varepsilon)^{-1} r_k}^{(1+\varepsilon) r_k} v'^2 r\, dr \\ &\ge \sum_{k=1}^\infty \int_{(1+\varepsilon)^{-1} r_k}^{(1+\varepsilon) r_k} \frac{c^2}{2r}\, dr = c^2 \sum_{k=1}^\infty \ln(1 + \varepsilon) = \infty, \end{aligned}$$

which contradicts (21). Consequently (13) is true. □

Lemma 4. *Let $p > p_S$, let w be a positive solution of (3), $v(r) = r^\alpha w(r)$, where $\alpha = 2/(p - 1)$. Then there exists*

$$v_0 := \lim_{r\to 0} v(r). \tag{22}$$

If $v_0 \ne 0$ then $v_0 = L$.

Proof. Set

$$a(\xi) := \frac{1}{p+1}\xi^{p+1} - \frac{L^{p-1}}{2}\xi^2.$$

Then passing to the limit as $\rho \to 0$ in (20) and using (13) we obtain the existence of $\lim_{r\to 0} a(v(r))$. Therefore, the limit set of $v(r)$ as $r \to 0$ is disconnected, hence there exists $v_0 = \lim_{r\to 0} v(r)$.

Assume on the contrary $v_0 \notin \{0, L\}$. Since $v'(r)r \to 0$ as $r \to 0$, (9) guarantees $v''(r)r^2 \to c \neq 0$ as $r \to 0$. Then integrating we obtain $v'(r) = -(c + o(1))/r$ as $r \to 0$, which yields a contradiction. □

Proof of Theorem 1. (i) Let us first consider equation (3).

If $p = p_S$ then the statement follows from Lemmas 3 and 2.

Assume $p > p_S$. We proceed as in the proof of [26, Theorem 3.1]. Set $v(r) = r^\alpha w(r)$, where $\alpha = 2/(p-1)$. Lemmas 2, 3 and 4 imply $v(r) \to L$ as $r \to 0$. Set $u(r) := v(r) - L$. Then $u(r) \to 0$ and $ru'(r) \to 0$ as $r \to 0$ and

$$u'' + \frac{n-1-2\alpha}{r}u' + \frac{r}{2}u' + \frac{2(n-2-\alpha)}{r^2}u + \frac{f(r)}{r^2} = 0, \tag{23}$$

where, for r small,

$$f(r) = (L+v(r))^p - L^p - pL^{p-1}v(r) = L^p \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \frac{p(p-1)\dots(p-k+1)}{k!}\Big(\frac{u(r)}{L}\Big)^k.$$

Multiplying (23) by r^2u' and integrating from ρ to R, R small, we obtain

$$\begin{cases} \Big(\dfrac{r^2u'^2}{2} + (n-2-\alpha)u^2\Big)\Big|_\rho^R + (n-2-2\alpha)\displaystyle\int_\rho^R ru'^2\,dr \\ \qquad + \dfrac{1}{2}\displaystyle\int_\rho^R r^3u'^2\,dr + \int_\rho^R f(r)u'\,dr = 0. \end{cases} \tag{24}$$

Since

$$\begin{aligned} \int_\rho^R f(r)u'\,dr &= L^{p+1}\sum_{k=3}^{\infty} \frac{p(p-1)\dots(p-k+2)}{k!}\Big(\frac{u(r)}{L}\Big)^k\Big|_\rho^R \\ &= L^{p+1}\sum_{k=3}^{\infty} \frac{p(p-1)\dots(p-k+2)}{k!}\Big[\Big(\frac{u(R)}{L}\Big)^k - \Big(\frac{u(\rho)}{L}\Big)^k\Big], \end{aligned}$$

letting $\rho \to 0$ in (24) we obtain

$$R^2u'(R)^2 + 2(n-2-\alpha)u^2(R) \le M|u(R)|^3,$$

hence $u(R) = 0$ for R small. Consequently, $u \equiv 0$.

(ii) Next consider equation (4). Assume that w is an unbounded positive solution of (4). The same arguments as in the proof of Lemma 1 show that (7) is true, and the proof of [15, Lemma 2.1] shows that w is bounded for $r \ge 1$, hence

$$w(r) \le C(1 + r^{-2/(p-1)}), \quad r > 0. \tag{25}$$

If $p > p_S$ then the assertion follows from [16, Theorem 1.2] or [13, Proposition A.1]. If $p = p_S$ then one can modify the proofs of Lemmas 2–4 and the arguments in (i) to prove that (25) guarantees $w = U_*$. In fact, the function $v(r) = w(r)r^\alpha$ now solves the equation

$$v'' + \Big(\frac{n-1-2\alpha}{r} - \frac{r}{2}\Big)v' + \frac{1}{r^2}(v^p - L^{p-1}v) = 0, \qquad r > 0, \tag{26}$$

instead of (9), and the only nontrivial change is in the proof of Lemma 3 for $p = p_S$: Since (17) reads now

$$c(R) - c(\rho) = \frac{1}{2}\int_\rho^R h'(r)^2 r^3\,dr > 0, \tag{27}$$

we have $c_0 < c_\infty$ and the property $c_0 = \min b$ does not yield an immediate contradiction. However, if $c_0 = \min b$ then (18) implies $h(r) \to 1$ and $rh'(r) \to 0$ as $r \to 0$, and (27), (18) imply

$$\int_0^R h'(r)^2 r^3\,dr = 2(c(R) - c_0) \geq R^2 h'(R)^2. \tag{28}$$

Consider $R \in (0,1)$. The estimate $|rh'(r)| \leq C_h$ for $r \in (0,1)$ and (28) guarantee $|h'(R)| \leq C_h$. Using a bootstrap argument in (28) we obtain $|h'(R)| \leq C_h R^k$, $k = 1, 2, \dots$, hence $h' \equiv 0$. □

Acknowledgements. The author was supported in part by the Slovak Research and Development Agency under the contract No. APVV-14-0378 and by VEGA grant 1/0319/15.

References

1. C. Budd and Y.-W. Qi, The existence of bounded solutions of a semilinear elliptic equation, *J. Differential Equations* **82** (1989), 207–218.
2. C.-C. Chen and C.-S. Lin, Existence of positive weak solutions with a prescribed singular set of semilinear elliptic equations, *J. Geom. Anal.* **9** (1999), 221–246.
3. C. Dohmen and M. Hirose, Structure of positive radial solutions to the Haraux-Weissler equation, *Nonlinear Anal.* **33** (1998), 51–69.
4. M. Fila, H. Matano and P. Poláčik, Immediate regularization after blow-up, *SIAM J. Math. Anal.* **37** (2005), 752–776.
5. M. Fila and N. Mizoguchi, Multiple continuation beyond blow-up, *Differential Integral Equations* **20** (2007), 671–680.
6. M. Fila and A. Pulkkinen, Backward selfsimilar solutions of supercritical parabolic equations, *Appl. Math. Letters* **22** (2009), 897–901.
7. R.H. Fowler, Further studies of Emden's and similar differential equations, *Quart. J. Math.* **2** (1931), 259–288.
8. Y. Giga and R.V. Kohn, Asymptotically self-similar blow-up of semilinear heat equations, *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.* **38** (1985), 297–319.
9. A. Haraux and F.B. Weissler, Non-uniqueness for a semilinear initial value problem, *Indiana Univ. Math. J.* **31** (1982), 167–189.
10. M.A. Herrero and J.J.L. Velázquez, A blow up result for semilinear heat equations in the supercritical case, Preprint 1994.

11. L.A. Lepin, Countable spectrum of eigenfunctions of a nonlinear heat-conduction equation with distributed parameters, *Differentsial'nye Uravneniya* **24** (1988), 1226–1234 (in Russian; English translation: *Differential Equations* **24** (1988), 799–805).
12. L.A. Lepin, Self-similar solutions of a semilinear heat equation, *Mat. Model.* **2** (1990), 63–74 (in Russian).
13. H. Matano and F. Merle, On nonexistence of type II blowup for a supercritical nonlinear heat equation, *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.* **57** (2004), 1494–1541.
14. J. Matos, Convergence of blow-up solutions of nonlinear heat equations in the supercritical case, *Proc. Roy. Soc. Edinburgh Sect. A* **129** (1999), 1197–1227.
15. N. Mizoguchi, Nonexistence of backward self-similar blowup solutions to a supercritical semilinear heat equation *J. Funct. Anal.* **257** (2009), 2911–2937.
16. N. Mizoguchi, On backward self-similar blow-up solutions to a supercritical semilinear heat equation, *Proc. Roy. Soc. Edinburgh Sect. A* **140** (2010), 821–831.
17. Y. Naito, An ODE approach to the multiplicity of self-similar solutions for semi-linear heat equations, *Proc. Roy. Soc. Edinburgh Sect. A* **136** (2006), 807–835.
18. Y. Naito, Self-similar solutions for a semilinear heat equation with critical Sobolev exponent, *Indiana Univ. Math. J.* **57** (2008), 1283–1315.
19. Y. Naito, The role of forward self-similar solutions in the Cauchy problem for semilinear heat equations, *J. Differential Equations* **253** (2012), 3029–3060.
20. W.-M. Ni and J. Serrin, Nonexistence theorems for singular solutions of quasilinear partial differential equations, *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.* **39** (1986), 379–399.
21. L.A. Peletier, D. Terman and F.B. Weissler, On the equation $\Delta u + x \cdot \nabla u + f(u) = 0$, *Arch. Rational Mech. Anal.* **94** (1986), 83–99.
22. P. Poláčik and P. Quittner and Ph. Souplet, Singularity and decay estimates in superlinear problems via Liouville-type theorems. Part I: Elliptic equations and systems, *Duke Math. J.* **139** (2007), 555–579.
23. P. Quittner, Threshold and strong threshold solutions of a semilinear parabolic equation, Preprint 2016.
24. P. Quittner and Ph. Souplet, *Superlinear parabolic problems. Blow-up, global existence and steady states*, Birkhäuser Advanced Texts, Birkhäuser, Basel, 2007.
25. S. Sato and E. Yanagida, Singular backward self-similar solution of a semilinear parabolic equation, *Discrete Contin. Dyn. Syst. S* **4** (2011), 897–906.
26. J. Serrin and H. Zou, Classification of positive solutions of quasilinear elliptic equations, *Topol. Methods Nonlinear Anal.* **3** (1994), 1–26.
27. Ph. Souplet and F.B. Weissler, Regular self-similar solutions of the nonlinear heat equation with initial data above the singular steady state, *Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré Anal. Non Linéaire* **20** (2003), 213–235.
28. T. Suzuki, Semilinear parabolic equation on bounded domain with critical Sobolev exponent, *Indiana Univ. Math. J.* **57** (2008), 3365–3396.
29. X. Wang, On the Cauchy problem for reaction-diffusion equations, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **337** (1993), 549–590.
30. E. Yanagida, Uniqueness of rapidly decaying solutions to the Haraux-Weissler equation, *J. Differential Equations* **127** (1996), 561–570.

Department of Applied Mathematics and Statistics, Comenius University Mlynská dolina, 84248 Bratislava, Slovakia

E-mail address: quittner@fmph.uniba.sk